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## In brief

As we found in the module on the cost of capital, most financial concepts come down to basic common sense. None could be more so than the time value of money (TVM) and its associated concepts: Present Value (PV), Net Present Value (NPV) and the Internal Rate of Return (IRR).

Start with Present Value. If you put money on deposit, it will increase in value over time as it earns interest. No surprises there. The reverse is also true. If you make an investment such that someone is going to pay you $\$ 100,000$ at the end of 12 months, that investment is not worth $\$ 100,000$ now. Rather, it is worth $\$ 100,000$ minus what you could have earned had you put it on deposit now.

If interest rates are $10 \%$, that $\$ 100,000$ is worth only $\$ 90,909$ today, because if you put that amount on deposit at $10 \%$, it would be worth $\$ 100,000$ in 12 months time. So its present value is $\$ 90,909$

That is about all there is to the concept of Present Value.

But, clearly this logic applies to all future cash flows in any business. Therefore, we must incorporate TVM into our forecasts when making business investment decisions. We need to adjust our expected returns to ensure we are clearing the financial hurdles required to make money. This will become clear as we examine the two key TVM concepts that are used for this purpose:

- Net Present Value (NPV)
- The Internal Rate of Return (IRR)


## Present Value Factors

## How present value diminishes over time

As we said on the previous page, if you are due to get $\$ 100,000$ in 12 months time and interest rates are $10 \%$, the value of that money today is $\$ 90,090$. In other words, we reduce the amount by a discount factor of 909 . You can work this out via your own arithmetic but it is easier to browse the net for Present Value Tables or use the PV function in spreadsheets

Similarly, if you were to receive $\$ 100,000$ in 24 months time you have to discount two years of interest. The present value would be lower still at $\$ 82,644$. In other words, the factor would be .826 , and so on. Using an interest rate of $10 \%$ as the example, Present Value tables would show us the following:

| TIME PERIOD <br> (YEARS) | DISCOUNT <br> FACTOR |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | .909 |
| 2 | .826 |
| 3 | .751 |
| 4 | .683 |
| 5 | .621 |
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## Calculating Net Present Value

## A simple example



However, you are tying up money which could earn income elsewhere, and because of TVM the $\$ 15,000$ pa you will generate will be worth less each year


The Discount

You therefore have to apply a discount rate to the $\$ 15,000$, equal to the amount it could have earned elsewhere, or at least a rate equal to your cost of capital or your WACC.

Let's examine each step ...

## The amount invested NPV: Step One

First, you will invest the money at time zero. Once invested, it is gone and represents a negative cash flow. For the purposes of calculating NPV then, it has a negative present value. At time zero, it has earned nothing and no discount rate applies. As a result, we begin to build our example as below.

| TIME PERIOD | CASH FLOW | DISCOUNT <br> FACTOR | PRESENT <br> VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $-\$ 50,000$ | 0 | $-\$ 50,000$ |

## Using a discount rate

## NPV: Step Two

As forecast, the project generates $\$ 15,000$ in Year 1. However, TVM states that if we had had that $\$ 15,000$ the beginning of the year, we could have made a return on it. Therefore, its present value is less, subject to that return, which, in our example is an interest rate of $10 \%$. In NPV calculations, that return is called the discount rate. You can use various numbers for the discount rate. It might be your RoC, or the Risk-Free Rate or any rate that you think reflects the risk involved (1).

One of the most sensible rates to use is your Weighted Average Cost of Capital, or WACC. This means that for the project to make sense, it must make a return which is greater than what your capital costs. Again, common sense.

For this example, we will assume your WACC is $10 \%$. In that case, at the end of Year One, the present value of your $\$ 15,000$ of income is actually $\$ 13,636$.

| TIME PERIOD | CASH FLOW | DISCOUNT <br> FACTOR | PRESENT <br> VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $-\$ 50,000$ | 0 | $-\$ 50,000$ |
| 1 | $\$ 15,000$ | .909 | $\$ 13,636$ |
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## Applying the discount rate

## NPV: Step Three

We then repeat the procedure for Year Two. But now there will be two years to factor into the Year 2 cash flow of $\$ 15,000$ and it will have to be reduced by a greater amount (as per our earlier table of discount factors). This process is then repeated for each of the years you choose to forecast. As you can see, the present value of the annual income drops away considerably over the period.

| TIME PERIOD | CASH FLOW | DISCOUNT <br> FACTOR | PRESENT <br> VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $-\$ 50,000$ | 0 | $-\$ 50,000$ |
| 1 | $\$ 15,000$ | .909 | $\$ 13,636$ |
| 2 | $\$ 15,000$ | .826 | $\$ 12,396$ |
| 3 | $\$ 15,000$ | .751 | $\$ 11,269$ |
| 4 | $\$ 15,000$ | .683 | $\$ 10,245$ |
| 5 | $\$ 15,000$ | .621 | $\$ 9,313$ |

## Calculating the NPV

## NPV: Step Four

The last step is the simplest. Add up the amounts in the PV column, netting off the initial negative cash flow of the investment, and see what the result is. In our example, the outcome is a positive Net Present Value of $\$ 6,861$.

| TIME PERIOD | CASH FLOW | DISCOUNT <br> FACTOR | PRESENT <br> VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $-\$ 50,000$ | 0 | $-\$ 50,000$ |
| 1 | $\$ 15,000$ | .909 | $\$ 13,636$ |
| 2 | $\$ 15,000$ | .826 | $\$ 12,396$ |
| 3 | $\$ 15,000$ | .751 | $\$ 11,269$ |
| 4 | $\$ 15,000$ | .683 | $\$ 10,245$ |
| 5 | $\$ 15,000$ | .621 | $\$ 9,313$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{N P V}=$ | $\$ 6,861$ |

## What does this mean?

## NPV: Step Five

In our example, the project does not generate a positive NPV until the fifth year. However, in that year the exercise produces an outturn of $\$ 6,861$. At that point, that is the actual value of the project in today's dollars. What can we say about that?

- The project has recovered its investment plus some.
- Because we used the firm's WACC, it has earned more than the cost of its capital.
- It has therefore created value.
- It needed to perform at the forecast level for 5 years in order to achieve that.

NPV calculations have a sobering effect on our view of what we have to do to run a profitable business and create value. In our example, the NPV would have been negative if the annual dollar return fell to, say, \$12,000 (see next page for example.)

Nonetheless, the outcome of the calculation shows us in clear dollar terms whether a project is worth undertaking. It has to add value. It is also very useful for comparing different investments. The rules of thumb however, are straightforward

- If NPV is negative, the project will destroy value
- If NPV = 0, the result will be break even
- If NPV is positive, the project will create value


## Negative NPV destroys value

Assume our project is not going to produce such strong returns. Say our forecasts suggest it will only generate $\$ 12,000$ per annum. As the table below shows, the total of its present values is minus $\$ 4,510$. It actually destroys value rather than creates it.

| TIME PERIOD | CASH FLOW | DISCOUNT <br> FACTOR | PRESENT <br> VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $-\$ 50,000$ | 0 | $-\$ 50,000$ |
| 1 | $\$ 12,000$ | .909 | $\$ 10,909$ |
| 2 | $\$ 12,000$ | .826 | $\$ 9,917$ |
| 3 | $\$ 12,000$ | .751 | $\$ 9,015$ |
| 4 | $\$ 12,000$ | .683 | $\$ 8,196$ |
| 5 | $\$ 12,000$ | .621 | $\$ 7,451$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{N P V}=$ | $\mathbf{- \$ 4 , 5 1 0}$ |

## The Internal Rate of Return (IRR)

## Why zero NPV is the benchmark

By this time it is clear that everything depends on the discount rate. We may change the forecasts, or the reality may turn out to be different, but whatever those numbers are, NPV rises or falls subject to the discount rate. This is clear if we return to our example and change the discount to $15.24 \%$ rather than our original $10 \%$. In that case the discount factor changes in line with the higher rate and the NPV falls to zero, as shown below. This rate is known as the Internal Rate of Return, or IRR. It is the rate at which NPV equals zero.

| TIME PERIOD | CASH FLOW | DISCOUNT <br> FACTOR | PRESENT <br> VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $-\$ 50,000$ | 0 | $-\$ 50,000$ |
| 1 | $\$ 15,000$ | .868 | $\$ 13,017$ |
| 2 | $\$ 15,000$ | .753 | $\$ 11,295$ |
| 3 | $\$ 15,000$ | .653 | $\$ 9,802$ |
| 4 | $\$ 15,000$ | .568 | $\$ 8,506$ |
| 5 | $\$ 15,000$ | .492 | $\$ 7,380$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{N P V}=$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## What does the IRR tell us?

In some ways the IRR is the inverse of the NPV calculation. It tells us exactly what the investment will earn in percentage terms after adjusting for the time value of money. Where the NPV showed us a result in present value terms of $\$ 6,861$, the IRR shows us what sort of return that represents in terms of a compound rate. It is similar to a yield on the investment.

In the case of our example, that return is equal to $15.24 \%$. This is significantly higher than our stated WACC of 10\%.

This gives us a shorthand way of expressing the relationship to the cost of capital with which we have already become familiar. Again it is common sense. If the IRR is greater than your WACC, (that is, the return on the money, adjusted for time, is greater than the cost of the money), the project will be profitable. Therefore, if

- IRR<WACC, value is destroyed
- IRR=WACC, break even
- IRR $>$ WACC, value is created

The IRR is the most common reference tool for making financial decisions.
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## For more information, visit my website www.alanhargreaves.com

Hi, l'm Alan Hargreaves. I specialise in simplifying complex business problems. In over 35 years as a business executive, I have never found an issue that cannot be addressed through identifying the essential but simple steps required to make any problem manageable. It might be your career, your firm, your team or your strategy. It doesn't matter. All hurdles can be lowered through dispassionate analysis, and all executives can embrace simple processes to take them forward.

Using these techniques, I have helped hundreds of people through the various stages of their business or career development It may be the challenge of taking on new responsibilities; it could be the task of managing a business you have created yourself; it may be handling a difficult team in the midst of major change. I use a straightforward combination of key principles to get results: collaboration, adaptation, simplification and action. You can contact me anytime at alan@alanhargreaves.com.
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